Individual variability in rat motivation to access a food reward



Anna Ratuski, Lucia Améndola, and Daniel Weary

Animal Welfare Program, Faculty of Land and Food Systems, University of British Columbia

Introduction

Individual rats vary in their motivation for food rewards, posing a challenge when interpreting behavioural responses in tests that rely on food rewards.

Approach-avoidance tests pair an animal's motivation to approach a reward with their motivation to avoid a negative stimulus. Approach-avoidance can be used to assess aversion to euthanasia agents like CO_2 . The strength of aversion to CO_2 has been shown to vary between individuals.

Experimental aims:

1) obtain an independent measure of individual variation in rat motivation for a sweet food reward

2) assess the relationship



Methods





1. Sweet Reward Motivation:

- 11 female Sprague-Dawley rats, each tested 3 times
- 21 Cheerios were hidden under a thin layer of sand

between this measure of motivation and measures of individual aversion to CO₂

Results



Trial number

- and increasingly dispersed in each consecutive trial
- Trials scored for time rats spent searching and number of Cheerios found

Home cage



2. Approach-Avoidance:

- 8 rats with 7-10 exposures to CO_2 (20% cage vol min⁻¹)
- When a rat started eating from a dish of 20 Cheerios, CO₂ flow began and the rat could leave at any time
- Average CO₂ aversion per rat determined through latency to leave the bottom cage

Figure 1. Amount of time rats spent searching for Cheerios in each sweet reward motivation trial. Each line represents an individual rat (Repeatability: R=0.59, p<0.001, n=11 rats).



Average time spent searching (s)

Figure 2. Relationship between average time rats spent searching for Cheerios in the sweet reward motivation test and average latency to avoid CO₂ in approach-avoidance tests (Pearson's correlation test: r=-0.15, p=0.72, n=8 rats).



Rats show consistent individual differences in their motivation to obtain a reward; behavioural tests that rely on rewards should account for for this individual variation.

Aversion to CO₂ in approach-avoidance is not related to motivation for a reward; individual differences in aversion may instead be due to differences in CO₂ sensitivity.

We are grateful to the staff of the CCM and our colleagues within the Animal Welfare Program for their help and support. This research was funded by a NSERC Discovery grant to DMW and a CONACYT graduate fellowship to LA.